



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,415	11/06/2003	Lester F. Ludwig	2152-3030	8353
22242 7590 05/12/2008 FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY 120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406				
EXAMINER FLETCHER, MARLON T				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2837				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/12/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/702,415

Applicant(s)

LUDWIG, LESTER F.

Examiner

Marlon T. Fletcher

Art Unit

2837

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 January 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/US)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7-9, 11-27, 29, 32-34, and 36-50, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine (5,848,164) in view of Okamura et al. (5,652,797).

Levine discloses a system for enriching timbre of audio signals by adding swelling resonance, twang, or both, said system comprising: an incoming audio signal (input – figure 5); and a plurality of audio signal delays (401, (501-1) – (501-3)), wherein each delay of said plurality of audio signal delays receive signal inputs comprising said incoming audio signal and a distinct high resonance positive feedback signal (via Gain 402), and includes a distinct selectable delay time corresponding to a period of a desired resonant frequency, wherein each delay of said plurality of audio signal delays combine said received signal inputs resulting in a combined signal (column 4, lines 13-30).

Levine discloses the system, wherein each outgoing signal generated by each delay of said plurality of audio signal delays is mixed by a mixer to produce at least one outgoing mixed audio signal (figure 5).

Levine discloses the system, wherein each outgoing signal generated by each delay of said plurality of audio signal delays is processed by a dedicated signal processor (114) resulting in a corresponding plurality of processed signals, wherein said plurality of processed signals are mixed by a mixer to produce at least one outgoing mixed audio signal (figures 2 and 5).

Levine discloses the system, wherein said dedicated signal processor comprises a flanger (701) swept at a rate corresponding to a sub-audio frequency. 8

Levine discloses the system, wherein said dedicated signal processor comprises a chorus (702) swept at a rate corresponding to a sub-audio frequency.

Levine discloses the system, wherein said system provides one signal processing layer of a multi-layered signal processing system (figure 2).

Levine discloses the system, wherein said selectable delay time for at least one delay of said plurality of audio signal delays is controlled by an incoming delay control signal; wherein said high resonance positive feedback signal of at least one delay of said plurality of audio signal delays is controlled by an incoming feedback control signal; and where mixer is controlled by an incoming mixer control signal (figures 3 and 4).

Levine discloses the system, wherein said dedicated signal processor for at least one delay of said plurality of delays is controlled by an incoming signal processor control signal.; wherein at least one of said plurality of delays is controlled in real-time by a measured attribute of said incoming audio signal; wherein said high resonance positive feedback signal of at least one delay of said plurality of audio signal delays is controlled in real-time by a measured attribute of said incoming audio signal (Figure 8).

Levine discloses the system, wherein at least one of said plurality of audio signal delays is controlled according to stored program control (810); wherein said mixer is controlled according to stored program control (810); wherein said dedicated signal processor for at least one delay of said plurality of delays is controlled according to stored program control (810).

Levine does not disclose providing distortion.

However, Okamura et al. disclose an audio input signal, wherein distortion is introduced into a combined signal, and wherein each delay of said plurality of audio signal delays generates an outgoing signal according to said selectable delay time, and wherein said outgoing signal comprises said combined signal and any distortion that has been introduced (figure 24; and column 22, lines 29-34).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the teachings of Okamura et al., because the teachings allow more of a range of varying the sound by allowing distortion to be added to the mixed sound signal.

Claims 3, 5, 6, 10, 28,30-31, and 35, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine in view Okamura et al. as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 7-9, 11-27, 29, 32-34, and 36-50 above, and further in view of Gerzon (5,555,306).

Levine and Okamura et al. are discussed above. Neither reference discloses panning or spatial location of the sound.

However, Gerzon discloses an input signal received by a plurality of delays, wherein a mixer separately provides low- speed auto-panning location modulation to

each outgoing signal generated by each delay of said plurality of audio signal delays, wherein the dedicated signal processor further includes an auto-panner swept at a rate corresponding to a sub-audio frequency (see claims 15 and 34 of Gerzon).

Gerzon discloses the system, wherein said system is incorporated into a spatially-distributed timbral realization system (abstract; and column 24, lines 28-39 and lines 54-63).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the teachings of Gerzon with Levine, because the teachings allow localization of the output sound, thereby creating a desired sound of the listener.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/15/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument has been reviewed. The arguments are not convincing. The applicant argues the selectable delay. It is believed that the claim limitations regarding this issue are met by the references. As for the IDS. No reference that lacks a publication date, will be consider. The IDS can not be processed with out this information. If applicant provides the dates where missing, the IDS will be considered. This should have been clear by the remarks "no date" on the IDS where initials were not provided. The rejection remains.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marlon T. Fletcher whose telephone number is 571-272-2063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on 571-272-1988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MTF
04/28/2008

/Marlon T Fletcher/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2837